

Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML): A Collaborative Approach to Hybrid Math Education

Adenike Omonijo Toromade¹, Christiana Uchechukwu Orakwe², Chioma Angela Okonkwo³

¹ Air Force School VI, Lagos, Nigeria

² Tuteria, Lagos, Nigeria

³ Community Secondary School, Umannachi, Nigeria

Corresponding author: toromadeadenike0292@gmail.com

Abstract

Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML) presents a collaborative and hybrid approach to mathematics education, combining online and offline learning environments to bridge achievement gaps. This review explores the theoretical foundations of DML, highlighting the importance of collaborative learning theories and the role of peer mentoring in enhancing educational outcomes. The paper examines the structure and design of DML programs, the technological tools and platforms that facilitate hybrid learning, and strategies for effective peer collaboration. While DML offers significant advantages, such as personalized learning experiences and increased access to educational resources, it also faces challenges including the digital divide, varying levels of digital literacy, and potential issues with content quality and social interaction. Recommendations for educators and policymakers focus on addressing these challenges through equitable access to technology, improved digital literacy, quality assurance of online content, and fostering a sense of community among learners. This review underscores the potential of DML to transform mathematics education and promote academic success for all students.

Keywords: *Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML), Hybrid Education, Collaborative Learning, Peer Mentoring, Digital Divide, Educational Technology*

Date of Submission: 12-11-2024

Date of Acceptance: 25-11-2024

I. Introduction

1.1 Overview of Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML)

Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML) represents an innovative approach to mathematics education that combines the strengths of collaborative learning with the flexibility of hybrid education. This model is designed to leverage both online and offline educational resources, creating a blended learning environment where students can engage in meaningful interactions and peer mentoring (Bouhata, Moumen, Mazari, & Bounceur, 2024). DML is grounded in the principle that learning mathematics is not solely an individual endeavor but a collective process where knowledge is constructed through social interactions and shared problem-solving experiences. By integrating digital tools and in-person activities, DML aims to provide a comprehensive educational experience that adapts to the diverse needs of students (Shang, 2021).

The concept of DML emerged from the growing recognition that traditional, lecture-based mathematics education often fails to address students' varied learning styles and paces. The one-size-fits-all approach can leave some students behind in a typical classroom while others may not be sufficiently challenged (Kovacs, Kuruczleki, Kazar, Liptak, & Racz, 2021). DML addresses these issues by fostering a more inclusive and dynamic learning environment. Online platforms facilitate access to a wide range of resources, including interactive tutorials, virtual manipulatives, and collaborative tools that allow students to work together in real-time, regardless of their physical location. Meanwhile, face-to-face sessions provide opportunities for hands-on activities, direct interaction with instructors, and the development of social skills crucial for collaborative work (Livy, Muir, Murphy, & Trimble, 2022).

1.2 Importance of Collaborative Learning in Mathematics Education

Collaborative learning, a core component of DML, has been extensively studied and recognized for its effectiveness in enhancing student engagement and achievement in mathematics. This pedagogical approach involves students working together in small groups to solve problems, complete tasks, or understand new concepts (Burvill, Owens, & Organ, 2022). The collaborative process encourages the exchange of ideas, promotes critical thinking, and helps students develop a deeper understanding of mathematical principles. Research has shown that

students who engage in collaborative learning often demonstrate improved problem-solving skills, greater retention of information, and a more positive attitude toward mathematics (Warsah, Morganna, Uyun, Afandi, & Hamengkubuwono, 2021).

One of the key advantages of collaborative learning is that it allows students to learn from one another. In a group setting, students can explain concepts to their peers, ask questions, and provide feedback, which reinforces their own understanding and helps clarify misunderstandings. This peer-to-peer interaction is particularly beneficial in mathematics, where students may approach problems differently and can offer unique perspectives that enhance the learning experience for the entire group. Additionally, collaborative learning helps build essential skills such as communication, teamwork, and leadership, which are valuable beyond the mathematics classroom (Schnaubert & Bodemer, 2019).

DML harnesses the power of collaborative learning by creating structured opportunities for peer mentoring and group work. Online platforms can facilitate these interactions through features like discussion forums, collaborative documents, and video conferencing, making it easier for students to connect and collaborate (Siergiejczyk, 2020). In-person sessions complement these online activities by providing a space for more direct interaction and hands-on learning experiences. Together, these elements create a robust learning environment that supports the development of both mathematical skills and social competencies (Jacobs & Renandya, 2019).

1.3 Purpose and Scope of the Paper

This paper aims to explore the concept of Distributed Mathematics Learning (DML) and its potential to transform mathematics education through a collaborative and hybrid approach. The paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of DML, including its theoretical foundations, key components, and the benefits and challenges associated with its implementation. By examining the various elements that contribute to the success of DML, the paper seeks to highlight how this model can bridge achievement gaps and create a more equitable and effective mathematics learning experience for all students.

In doing so, the paper will delve into several key areas. First, it will outline the theoretical framework underpinning DML, drawing on collaborative learning, hybrid education, and peer mentoring research. This section will provide a detailed understanding of the principles and theories that inform the design and implementation of DML programs. Next, the paper will discuss the key components of DML, including the structure and design of these programs, the technological tools and platforms used, and the strategies for effective peer mentoring and collaboration.

The paper will also examine the benefits and challenges of DML, offering insights into how this approach can address some of the common issues in traditional mathematics education. It will highlight the advantages of DML in promoting student engagement, enhancing understanding, and fostering a positive attitude toward mathematics. Additionally, the paper will discuss potential challenges and limitations, as well as solutions and best practices for overcoming these obstacles.

Finally, the paper will conclude with recommendations for educators and policymakers, suggesting ways to support implementing and scaling DML programs. It will also propose future directions for research and practice, aiming to contribute to the ongoing development and refinement of collaborative and hybrid approaches to mathematics education. Through this comprehensive examination of DML, the paper seeks to provide valuable insights and practical guidance for those interested in enhancing mathematics education through innovative and collaborative methods.

II. Theoretical Framework

2.1 Foundations of Collaborative Learning Theories

Collaborative learning is grounded in several educational theories that emphasize the social nature of learning and the importance of interaction in cognitive development. One of the most influential theories in this area is Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, which posits that social interaction plays a fundamental role in the development of cognition. According to Vygotsky, learning occurs when individuals engage in meaningful activities with others, and knowledge is constructed through these social interactions. This theory highlights the importance of collaborative activities where learners can share perspectives, negotiate understanding, and co-construct knowledge (Taber, 2020).

Another key theoretical foundation for collaborative learning is Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development, which suggests that learners actively construct knowledge by interacting with their environment (Khadidja, 2020). Piaget emphasized the role of peer interaction in promoting cognitive growth, arguing that when students work together, they are exposed to different viewpoints that challenge their thinking and promote cognitive conflict. This conflict, in turn, leads to deeper understanding and the restructuring of existing knowledge (Devi, 2019).

Additionally, the constructivist theory of learning, which encompasses both Vygotsky's and Piaget's ideas, supports the notion that learners build their own understanding through active engagement and collaboration. Constructivism asserts that learning is an active process where individuals construct new knowledge

based on their experiences and interactions. Collaborative learning aligns with this theory by creating opportunities for students to engage in dialogue, problem-solving, and joint exploration of concepts (Mishra, 2023).

These theoretical foundations underscore the value of collaborative learning in mathematics education. By working together, students can leverage their collective knowledge and skills, enhance their problem-solving abilities, and develop a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts. Collaborative learning also fosters important social and communication skills, preparing students for collaborative work in various real-world contexts.

2.2 Hybrid Education Models: Integrating Online and Offline Learning

Hybrid education, also known as blended learning, combines online and offline instructional methods to create a flexible and dynamic learning environment. This approach integrates the strengths of both modalities, providing students with the benefits of face-to-face interaction and the flexibility of online learning. Hybrid education models have gained popularity in recent years due to advances in technology and the increasing demand for more personalized and accessible learning experiences (Sharma et al., 2022).

In a hybrid learning environment, students can access a wide range of online resources, such as interactive tutorials, video lectures, and digital textbooks, which complement traditional classroom instruction. These resources allow students to learn at their own pace and review material as needed, supporting differentiated instruction and personalized learning. Online platforms also facilitate communication and collaboration through discussion forums, chat rooms, and collaborative documents, enabling students to work together and seek help from peers and instructors (Kumar et al., 2021). On the other hand, offline learning provides opportunities for direct interaction, hands-on activities, and immediate feedback from teachers. In-person sessions are particularly valuable for activities that require physical manipulation of materials, group work, and face-to-face discussions. These sessions help build a sense of community and foster relationships among students and teachers, which are essential for a supportive learning environment (Eyal & Gil, 2022).

Hybrid education models are particularly well-suited for mathematics education, where students often benefit from both independent practice and collaborative problem-solving. Online resources can provide additional practice and tutorials for students who need extra support, while in-person sessions can focus on group activities and discussions that deepen understanding. By integrating online and offline learning, hybrid education models create a balanced and comprehensive approach to mathematics instruction (Knopik & Oszwa, 2021).

2.3 Role of Peer Mentoring in Educational Achievement

Peer mentoring is a critical component of collaborative learning and plays a significant role in enhancing educational achievement. Peer mentoring involves students providing guidance, support, and feedback to their peers, often in a structured setting. This process can take many forms, including peer tutoring, study groups, and collaborative projects, where students work together to achieve common learning goals (Carvalho & Santos, 2022). Research has shown that peer mentoring can positively impact academic performance and motivation. When students engage in peer mentoring, they develop a sense of responsibility for their own learning and the learning of others. This mutual support fosters a collaborative learning environment where students feel more comfortable asking questions, sharing ideas, and seeking help. Peer mentoring also promotes active engagement and deeper understanding, as students explain concepts to their peers and clarify their thinking (Topping, 2020).

In mathematics education, peer mentoring is particularly effective in helping students overcome challenges and build confidence. Mathematics can be daunting for many students, and peer mentoring provides a supportive and non-judgmental space where they can practice and improve their skills. By working with peers, students can approach problems from different angles, learn new strategies, and develop a more robust understanding of mathematical concepts (Uz Bilgin & Gul, 2020).

Moreover, peer mentoring helps bridge achievement gaps by providing additional support to students who may be struggling. Peer mentors can offer personalized assistance and encouragement, helping their peers stay engaged and motivated. This support is especially important in a hybrid learning environment, where students may need extra help navigating online resources and balancing their learning activities (Duerksen, Besney, Ames, & McMorris, 2021).

III. Key Components of Distributed Mathematics Learning

3.1 Structure and Design of DML Programs

The structure and design of Distributed Mathematics Learning programs are crucial to their effectiveness in enhancing mathematics education. A well-designed DML program seamlessly integrates online and offline components to create a cohesive learning experience that maximizes student engagement and achievement. The foundational principle of DML programs is flexibility, allowing students to access learning materials and support in ways that best suit their individual needs and schedules (Staddon, 2022).

A typical DML program begins with a clear and detailed syllabus that outlines the course objectives, learning outcomes, and assessment methods. This syllabus serves as a roadmap for both students and instructors, providing a structured yet adaptable framework for the course. The curriculum is designed to cover key mathematical concepts and skills, with each module building on the previous one to ensure a coherent learning progression.

Central to the structure of DML programs is the integration of synchronous and asynchronous learning activities. Synchronous activities like live online classes and in-person sessions allow for real-time interaction between students and instructors. These sessions are essential for explaining complex concepts, addressing student questions, and fostering a sense of community. On the other hand, asynchronous activities provide flexibility and autonomy, enabling students to engage with learning materials at their own pace. These activities include recorded lectures, interactive tutorials, discussion forums, and problem sets (Radović, Marić, & Passey, 2019).

The design of DML programs also emphasizes continuous assessment and feedback. Formative assessments, such as quizzes, assignments, and peer reviews, are used to monitor student progress and provide timely feedback. These assessments help identify areas where students may need additional support and allow instructors to adjust their teaching strategies accordingly. Summative assessments, such as exams and final projects, evaluate student mastery of the course content and provide a comprehensive measure of their learning outcomes (Thambusamy & Singh, 2021).

3.2 Technological Tools and Platforms for Hybrid Learning

The success of DML programs largely depends on the effective use of technological tools and platforms that facilitate hybrid learning. These tools provide access to learning materials and support communication, collaboration, and assessment. A robust learning management system (LMS) is at the heart of DML programs, serving as the central hub for course content, assignments, and communication. An effective LMS supports a range of functionalities, including content delivery, discussion forums, and grade management. It allows instructors to upload lecture videos, create interactive quizzes, and manage student submissions. The LMS provides students easy access to all course materials, enabling them to review content, participate in discussions, and submit assignments from any location with an internet connection. In addition to the LMS, various other technological tools enhance the hybrid learning experience. Video conferencing platforms, such as Zoom or Microsoft Teams, facilitate live online classes and virtual office hours, allowing for real-time interaction between students and instructors. These platforms support features like screen sharing, breakout rooms, and recording, which enhance the quality and accessibility of online instruction (Rojabi, Setiawan, Munir, & Purwati, 2022). Collaborative tools like Google Workspace or Microsoft 365 enable students to work together on projects and assignments. These tools support real-time collaboration on documents, spreadsheets, and presentations, making it easier for students to share ideas and provide feedback. Interactive learning tools, such as Desmos or GeoGebra, offer dynamic visualizations and simulations that help students explore mathematical concepts in a more engaging and intuitive way (Salih, 2021).

Assessment tools are also critical in DML programs. Platforms like Kahoot! or Quizizz provide interactive quizzes and games that make assessment more engaging and fun. These tools offer immediate feedback, helping students identify their strengths and areas for improvement. Additionally, analytics tools integrated into the LMS can track student progress and performance, providing valuable insights for instructors and enabling data-driven decision-making (Aini & Setiawan, 2023).

3.3 Strategies for Effective Peer Mentoring and Collaboration

Peer mentoring and collaboration are integral components of DML, fostering a supportive and interactive learning environment. Effective peer mentoring involves structured activities that encourage students to share knowledge, provide feedback, and support each other's learning. This collaborative approach enhances academic achievement and develops important social and communication skills (Mullen, Boyles, Witcher, & Klimaitis, 2020). One effective strategy for peer mentoring is the use of study groups. These small groups of students meet regularly to review course materials, discuss concepts, and work on problem sets together. Study groups allow students to ask questions, explain concepts to their peers, and learn from each other's perspectives. Instructors can facilitate the formation of study groups and guide how to structure their meetings and activities.

Another strategy is the implementation of peer tutoring programs. In these programs, more advanced students or those who have previously excelled in the course are paired with peers who may need additional support. Peer tutors can offer personalized assistance, helping their mentees understand difficult concepts, prepare for assessments, and develop effective study strategies. Peer tutoring not only benefits the tutees but also reinforces the tutors' own understanding and skills (Mullen & Klimaitis, 2021).

Collaborative projects are also a key component of DML. These projects require students to work together to solve complex problems, conduct research, or create presentations. Collaborative projects promote teamwork, critical thinking, and creativity. They also allow students to apply their knowledge in real-world contexts, enhancing their understanding and retention of mathematical concepts.

Instructors can use various technological tools to support effective peer mentoring and collaboration. LMS discussion forums allow students to post questions, share resources, and engage in academic discussions. Collaborative platforms, such as Google Docs or Microsoft Teams, enable students to work together on documents and projects in real-time. Peer review tools, like Peergrade, facilitate structured feedback, allowing students to evaluate each other's work and learn from the feedback they receive (Kahu, Thomas, & Heinrich, 2024).

IV. Benefits and Challenges of Distributed Mathematics Learning

4.1 Advantages of DML in Bridging Achievement Gaps

Distributed Mathematics Learning offers significant advantages in addressing and bridging achievement gaps in mathematics education. One of the primary benefits of DML is its ability to provide personalized learning experiences. By leveraging both online and offline resources, DML allows students to learn at their own pace and in a manner that suits their individual learning styles. This flexibility is particularly beneficial for students who may struggle with traditional classroom settings, as it allows them to review materials, seek additional help, and engage with content in a more interactive and supportive environment (Schmid, Borokhovski, Bernard, Pickup, & Abrami, 2023).

Another advantage of DML is the increased access to high-quality educational resources. Online platforms can offer a wide range of instructional materials, including video lectures, interactive simulations, and practice exercises, which can enhance understanding and retention of mathematical concepts. These resources are often available 24/7, allowing students to access them whenever they need. This continuous availability ensures that all students, regardless of their socioeconomic background or geographic location, have access to the same quality of education.

DML also promotes collaborative learning, which has been shown to improve academic outcomes. By incorporating peer mentoring and group activities, DML fosters a sense of community and collaboration among students. This social aspect of learning encourages students to engage with the material more deeply, as they can discuss concepts, solve problems together, and learn from each other's perspectives. Collaborative learning helps students grasp complex ideas and develops their communication and teamwork skills, which are essential for their future academic and professional success (Ahmed, Assadi, Ahmed, & Banihabib, 2023).

Furthermore, DML can help identify and address learning gaps more effectively. The use of online assessments and analytics tools enables educators to track student progress in real time and identify areas where students may be struggling. This data-driven approach allows timely interventions, such as targeted tutoring or additional practice exercises, to help students overcome difficulties. By addressing these learning gaps promptly, DML can prevent students from falling behind and ensure that they stay on track with their peers (Knopik & Oszwa, 2021).

4.2 Potential Challenges and Limitations

Despite its many advantages, DML also presents several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed to ensure its effective implementation. One of the primary challenges is the digital divide, which refers to the gap between those with access to modern information and communication technology and those without. Students from low-income families or rural areas may lack access to reliable internet connections, computers, or other necessary devices, making it difficult for them to fully participate in DML programs. This digital divide can exacerbate existing achievement gaps rather than bridge them (Verbraeken et al., 2020).

Another challenge is the varying levels of digital literacy among students and educators. For DML to be effective, students and teachers must be comfortable using digital tools and platforms. However, not all students may have the necessary skills to navigate online learning environments, and some educators may struggle with integrating technology into their teaching practices. This disparity in digital literacy can hinder the effectiveness of DML and limit its potential benefits.

The quality of online content and instruction is also a concern. While many high-quality online resources are available, not all content is created equal. Some online materials may be outdated, inaccurate, or not aligned with curriculum standards. Ensuring that students have access to reliable and high-quality resources is crucial for the success of DML programs. Additionally, the lack of face-to-face interaction in online learning environments can make it challenging for teachers to provide immediate feedback and support, which is often essential for student understanding and motivation.

Another limitation is the potential for reduced social interaction and the sense of isolation that some students may experience in online learning environments. While DML emphasizes collaborative learning, the physical separation between students can make building strong relationships and a sense of community difficult. This lack of social interaction can impact students' motivation, engagement, and overall learning experience (Hoffman et al., 2020).

4.3 Solutions and Best Practices for Implementation

Several solutions and best practices can be implemented to overcome the challenges associated with DML. Addressing the digital divide requires a concerted effort from governments, educational institutions, and private organizations to provide students with the necessary technology and internet access. Initiatives such as distributing laptops, offering subsidized internet services, and creating community access points can help bridge this gap and ensure that all students can participate in DML programs.

Improving digital literacy is another critical step. Schools and educational institutions should provide training and support for both students and teachers to enhance their digital skills. This training can include workshops, online tutorials, and ongoing technical support to help them navigate digital tools and platforms effectively. By building digital literacy, educators can ensure that all participants are equipped to make the most of DML opportunities.

Ensuring the quality of online content and instruction is essential for the success of DML programs. Educational institutions should carefully curate and vet online resources to ensure they are accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with curriculum standards. Collaboration with reputable educational content providers can help maintain high standards. Additionally, providing professional development opportunities for teachers can help them integrate technology into their teaching practices effectively and create engaging and interactive online learning experiences.

To address the potential for reduced social interaction, DML programs should incorporate strategies fostering community and student collaboration. Regular synchronous sessions, such as live online classes and virtual group activities, can help maintain personal connections and encourage interaction. Creating online discussion forums and social spaces where students can communicate informally can also help build a sense of community. Additionally, educators should proactively reach out to students who may be struggling or feeling isolated, providing them with additional support and encouragement (Hasibuan, Saragih, & Amry, 2019).

V. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

Distributed Mathematics Learning represents an innovative approach to bridging achievement gaps in mathematics education by integrating online and offline learning environments. This model capitalizes on the strengths of hybrid education, utilizing both synchronous and asynchronous activities to provide flexible and personalized learning experiences. The theoretical foundation of DML is grounded in collaborative learning theories, emphasizing the importance of peer mentoring and group activities to enhance student understanding and engagement. The structure of DML programs is designed to be adaptable, incorporating continuous assessment and feedback mechanisms to monitor and support student progress. Technological tools and platforms are crucial in facilitating these programs, offering a wide range of resources and interactive capabilities to enrich the learning experience. However, the implementation of DML is not without challenges, including the digital divide, varying levels of digital literacy, and potential issues with content quality and social interaction.

5.2 Recommendations for Educators and Policymakers

To maximize the benefits of DML and address its challenges, educators and policymakers need to take proactive steps. Firstly, addressing the digital divide is essential. Policymakers should prioritize providing all students equitable access to technology and high-speed internet. Initiatives such as distributing laptops and tablets, offering subsidized internet services, and establishing community internet access points can help ensure that no student is left behind due to a lack of resources.

Improving digital literacy among both students and educators is also critical. Schools should implement comprehensive training programs that equip students with the skills to navigate online learning environments effectively. Educators, too, should receive professional development opportunities that enable them to integrate technology seamlessly into their teaching practices. This training should include learning management systems, interactive tools, and online assessment platforms to enhance instructional delivery and student engagement.

Ensuring the quality of online content is another vital consideration. Educational institutions should collaborate with reputable content providers to curate and vet educational resources. This process should ensure that all materials are accurate, up-to-date, and aligned with curriculum standards. Additionally, educators should be encouraged to create high-quality content tailored to their students' needs and contexts.

Educators should incorporate regular synchronous sessions and collaborative activities to foster a sense of community and maintain social interaction in DML environments. Virtual group projects, live online classes, and discussion forums can help maintain personal connections and encourage student interaction. Moreover, educators should be attentive to students who may feel isolated or disengaged, providing additional support and opportunities for involvement.

Policymakers should also consider developing guidelines and frameworks to effectively implement DML. These guidelines should outline best practices for integrating technology into education, ensuring equity and inclusion, and maintaining high standards of content quality and instructional delivery. Funding and resources

should be allocated to support these initiatives, ensuring that all schools have the infrastructure and capacity to implement DML effectively.

References

- [1]. Ahmed, N., Assadi, M., Ahmed, A. A., & Banihabib, R. (2023). Optimal design, operational controls, and data-driven machine learning in sustainable borehole heat exchanger coupled heat pumps: Key implementation challenges and advancement opportunities. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 74, 231-257.
- [2]. Aini, D. N., & Setiawan, S. (2023). The Effect of Game Based Learning Platform on Students Achievement in Autonomous Learning Context: Evidence from EFL Classroom in Pesantren. *ELITE JOURNAL*, 5(2), 333-340.
- [3]. Bouhata, D., Moumen, H., Mazari, J. A., & Bounceur, A. (2024). Byzantine fault tolerance in distributed machine learning: a survey. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 1-59.
- [4]. Burvill, S., Owens, S., & Organ, K. (2022). The digital explosion: It's impact on international student achievement. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 20(1), 100585.
- [5]. Carvalho, A. R., & Santos, C. (2022). Developing peer mentors' collaborative and metacognitive skills with a technology-enhanced peer learning program. *Computers and Education Open*, 3, 100070.
- [6]. Devi, K. S. (2019). Constructivist approach to learning based on the concepts of Jean Piaget and lev Vygotsky. the NCERT and no matter may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission of the NCERT, 44(4), 5-19.
- [7]. Duerksen, K., Besney, R., Ames, M., & McMorris, C. A. (2021). Supporting autistic adults in postsecondary settings: A systematic review of peer mentorship programs. *Autism in Adulthood*, 3(1), 85-99.
- [8]. Eyal, L., & Gil, E. (2022). Hybrid learning spaces—A three-fold evolving perspective. In *Hybrid learning spaces* (pp. 11-23): Springer.
- [9]. Hasibuan, A. M., Saragih, S., & Amry, Z. (2019). Development of Learning Materials Based on Realistic Mathematics Education to Improve Problem Solving Ability and Student Learning Independence. *International electronic journal of mathematics education*, 14(1), 243-252.
- [10]. Hoffman, M. W., Shahriari, B., Aslanides, J., Barth-Maron, G., Momchev, N., Sinopalnikov, D., . . . Vincent, D. (2020). Acme: A research framework for distributed reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00979.
- [11]. Jacobs, G. M., & Renandya, W. A. (2019). Student centered cooperative learning: Linking concepts in education to promote student learning: Springer.
- [12]. Kahu, E. R., Thomas, H. G., & Heinrich, E. (2024). 'A sense of community and camaraderie': Increasing student engagement by supplementing an LMS with a Learning Commons Communication Tool. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 25(2), 303-316.
- [13]. Khadija, K. (2020). Constructivist theories of Piaget and Vygotsky: Implications for pedagogical practices.
- [14]. Knopik, T., & Oszwa, U. (2021). E-cooperative problem solving as a strategy for learning mathematics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Education in the Knowledge Society (EKS)*, 22, e25176-e25176.
- [15]. Kovacs, P., Kuruczleki, E., Kazar, K., Liptak, L., & Racz, T. (2021). Modern teaching methods in action in statistical classes. *Statistical Journal of the IAOS*, 37(3), 899-919.
- [16]. Kumar, A., Krishnamurthi, R., Bhatia, S., Kaushik, K., Ahuja, N. J., Nayyar, A., & Masud, M. (2021). Blended learning tools and practices: A comprehensive analysis. *Ieee Access*, 9, 85151-85197.
- [17]. Livy, S., Muir, T., Murphy, C., & Trimble, A. (2022). Creative approaches to teaching mathematics education with online tools during COVID-19. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 53(3), 573-581.
- [18]. Mishra, N. R. (2023). Constructivist approach to learning: An analysis of pedagogical models of social constructivist learning theory. *Journal of research and development*, 6(01), 22-29.
- [19]. Mullen, C. A., Boyles, E. T., Witcher, A. W., & Klimaitis, C. C. (2020). Dynamics shaping collaborative peer group mentoring among educational leaders. *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning*, 28(4), 416-438.
- [20]. Mullen, C. A., & Klimaitis, C. C. (2021). Defining mentoring: a literature review of issues, types, and applications. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1483(1), 19-35.
- [21]. Radović, S., Marić, M., & Passey, D. (2019). Technology enhancing mathematics learning behaviours: Shifting learning goals from "producing the right answer" to "understanding how to address current and future mathematical challenges". *Education and Information Technologies*, 24, 103-126.
- [22]. Rojabi, A., Setiawan, S., Munir, A., & Purwati, O. (2022). The camera-on or camera-off, is it a dilemma? Sparking engagement, motivation, and autonomy through microsoft teams videoconferencing. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 17(11), 174-189.
- [23]. Salih, M. M. (2021). A Comparative Study Between Google Workspace and Microsoft Office 365 Productivity Services in Iraqi Educational Institutions. *International Journal of Humanities and Educational Research*, 3(5), 123-135.
- [24]. Schmid, R. F., Borokhovski, E., Bernard, R. M., Pickup, D. I., & Abrami, P. C. (2023). A meta-analysis of online learning, blended learning, the flipped classroom and classroom instruction for pre-service and in-service teachers. *Computers and Education Open*, 5, 100142.
- [25]. Schnaubert, L., & Bodemer, D. (2019). Providing different types of group awareness information to guide collaborative learning. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 14, 7-51.
- [26]. Shang, H.-F. (2021). The impact of blended discussions on learning motivation and EFL reading performance. *Educational Studies*, 57(6), 629-649.
- [27]. Sharma, D., Sood, A. K., Darius, P. S., Gundabattini, E., Darius Gnanaraj, S., & Joseph Jeyapaul, A. (2022). A study on the online-offline and blended learning methods. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series B*, 103(4), 1373-1382.
- [28]. Siergiejczyk, G. (2020). Virtual international exchange as a high-impact learning tool for more inclusive, equitable and diverse classrooms. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, 23(1), 1-17.
- [29]. Staddon, R. V. (2022). A supported flipped learning model for mathematics gives safety nets for online and blended learning. *Computers and Education Open*, 3, 100106.
- [30]. Taber, K. S. (2020). Mediated learning leading development—The social development theory of Lev Vygotsky. *Science education in theory and practice: An introductory guide to learning theory*, 277-291.
- [31]. Thambusamy, R. X., & Singh, P. (2021). Online Assessment: How Effectively Do They Measure Student Learning at the Tertiary Level? *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*.
- [32]. Topping, K. J. (2020). Peer tutoring and cooperative learning. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education*.
- [33]. Uz Bilgin, C., & Gul, A. (2020). Investigating the effectiveness of gamification on group cohesion, attitude, and academic achievement in collaborative learning environments. *TechTrends*, 64(1), 124-136.

- [34]. Verbracken, J., Wolting, M., Katzy, J., Kloppenburg, J., Verbelen, T., & Rellermeier, J. S. (2020). A survey on distributed machine learning. *Acm computing surveys (csur)*, 53(2), 1-33.
- [35]. Warsah, I., Morganna, R., Uyun, M., Afandi, M., & Hamengkubuwono, H. (2021). The impact of collaborative learning on learners' critical thinking skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 443-460.