# Performance of Concrete with Granite Slab Waste as Coarse Aggregate at Elevated Temperatures

Shibi Varghese<sup>1</sup>, Eldhose M.Manjummekudiyil<sup>2</sup>, Jomol Zacharia<sup>3</sup>

 Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, M A College of Engineering, Kothamangalam, Kerala, India<sup>1</sup>
 Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, M A College of Engineering, Kothamangalam, Kerala, India<sup>2</sup>
 M.Tech Student, Department of Civil Engineering, M A College of Engineering, Kothamangalam, Kerala, India<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:-** The increased use of concrete can cause the extinction of natural aggregates. So it is necessary to find alternatives for the coarse and fine aggregates used, which should be easily available and economical as well. The paper has been aimed to study the suitability of granite slab waste as coarse aggregate in concrete and also to study its properties at elevated temperatures. Waste pieces from the industry were broken to 20 mm and down size and were used as coarse aggregates for concrete. Concrete specimens were tested after being heated to temperatures of  $200^{\circ}$ C,  $400^{\circ}$ C and  $600^{\circ}$ C and cooled to room temperature, for their compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and Modulus of Elasticity. A comparative study was done with concrete made with conventional aggregates.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Concrete is used more than any other man made material on the planet. The annual consumption of concrete is as much as two tones per person per year globally. This situation has led to a fast depletion of available resources. This has led to the researches on the suitability of waste materials as aggregates for concrete. Recently granite slabs are widely used for flooring of buildings. When these slabs are cut to required sizes and shape, a lot of material is coming as waste. These waste pieces are dumped into the land and it causes many environmental problems. If these waste pieces can be used as aggregates, it become a new source of aggregates and also acts as a waste disposal method. From the literature studies, it is known that relatively few studies are done on Granite Slab Waste. So the project has been aimed to study the suitability of granite slab waste as coarse aggregate in concrete and also to study its properties at elevated temperatures.

Properties to be tested are Compressive Strength Flexural Strength, Splitting Tensile Strength. Conduct an economic study of usage of waste plastic powder as supplementary material in place of cement in ordinary concrete.

# II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Scope of study is limited to testing  $M_{20}$  mix specimens with granite slab waste as coarse aggregate at ambient temperature and at elevated temperatures for compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and modulus of elasticity. Comparison is done with that of conventional concrete of the same mix.

| Tuble I I hysleur I toper des 61 Cement |                                     |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Specific gravity                        | 3.0                                 |  |  |  |
| Standard consistency                    | 36                                  |  |  |  |
| Initial setting time in minutes         | 76                                  |  |  |  |
| Final setting time in minutes           | 595                                 |  |  |  |
| Average compressive strength in MPa     |                                     |  |  |  |
| 7days                                   | 26                                  |  |  |  |
| 28 days                                 | 33                                  |  |  |  |
| Brand name                              | Portland pozzalana cement of Dalmia |  |  |  |

| Table I | l Physical | <b>Properties</b> | Of | Cement |
|---------|------------|-------------------|----|--------|
|         |            |                   |    |        |

| Table ii Physical Properties Of Sand |        |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Specific Gravity 2.884               |        |  |  |  |
| Water Absorption                     | 1.81%  |  |  |  |
| Fineness Modulus                     | 2.458  |  |  |  |
| Grading                              | ZONE I |  |  |  |
| Bulking                              | 42     |  |  |  |

#### Table iii.Sieve Analysis Results Of Fine Aggregate

| IS Sieve   | Percentage of Passing | IS Limits for zone I |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 4.75mm     | 100                   | 100                  |
| 2.36mm     | 91.2                  | 90 to 100            |
| 1.18mm     | 71.9                  | 60to 95              |
| 600microns | 52.9                  | 30 to 70             |
| 300microns | 29.5                  | 15to 34              |
| 150microns | 8.7                   | 5 to 20              |
| Pan        | 0                     | 0to10                |

#### Table iv .Properties Of Coarse Aggregate Used In Control Mix

| Specific Gravity         | 2.63  |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Water Absorption         | 0.5%  |
| Fineness Modulus         | 8.234 |
| Aggregate Crushing Value | 31.1% |

### Table v .Sieve Analysis Results Of Coarse Aggregate Used In Control Mix

| IS Sieve | Percentage of Passing |
|----------|-----------------------|
| 25mm     | 100                   |
| 20mm     | 75.3                  |
| 12.5mm   | 1.3                   |
| 10mm     | 0                     |
| 4.75mm   | 0                     |

#### Table vi Properties Of Granite Slab Waste Aggregate

| Specific Gravity         | 2.81  |
|--------------------------|-------|
| Water Absorption         | 0.2%  |
| Fineness Modulus         | 8.186 |
| Aggregate Crushing Value | 18.5% |

#### Table vii .Sieve Analysis Results Of Granite Slab Waste Aggregate

| IS Sieve | Percentage of Passing |
|----------|-----------------------|
| 25mm     | 100                   |
| 20mm     | 70                    |
| 12.5mm   | 8.6                   |
| 10mm     | 2.8                   |
| 4.75mm   | 0                     |

#### Superplasticizer

Super plasticizer helps to reduce the water content, thereby effective control on the water cement ratio can be maintained and thus improves strength. In this research work, a commercially available water reducing admixture (Conplast SP-430S) is used as a super plasticizer. Type: Sulphonated Naphthalene Formaldehyde Polymer based SP, Specific Gravity: 1.220 to 1.225 at 30°C. The dosage of this super plasticizer is 0.5% by weight of cement.

| III. MIX PROPORTIONIN  | G OF M <sub>20</sub> GRADE CONCRETE |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Table viii Materials Required For 1 M <sup>3</sup> Of Concrete |                                     |

|                    | Control mix | Granite slab waste mix |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Cement             | 360kg       | 390 kg                 |
| Water              | 162 kg      | 156 kg                 |
| Fine aggregate     | 835 kg      | 779 kg                 |
| Coarse aggregate   | 1151 kg     | 1240 kg                |
| Chemical admixture | 1.8 kg      | 1.95 kg                |
| Water cement ratio | 0.45        | 0.4                    |

## IV. HEATING OF SPECIMENS

The specimens which completed 28 days of curing are then placed in an electrically heated air circulating tempering furnace. The inside of oven is cylindrically shaped with 400mm diameter and 600mm height. The working temperature of the furnace is at 750°C to 800°C of power 18KW. At a time 3 specimens were placed in the furnace. The tests were performed at three different temperatures  $(200^{\circ}C, 400^{\circ}C \text{ and } 600^{\circ}C)$ . After attaining the desired temperature, the specimens were kept in the oven about 40minutes so that the inside portion of the specimen will also attain the same temperature.

#### V. COOLING THE SPECIMENS

In this study, half of the heated specimens were cooled in water and the remaining were cooled in air (at room temperature) until they were at 28°C.

## VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

**Compressive Strength** 

| Table IX Cube Compressive Strength In N/Mm |                        |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |       |  |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| Type of cooling                            |                        | Air cooled         |                    |                    | Water cooled       |                    |       |  |
| Temperature                                | Ambient<br>temperature | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400 <sup>0</sup> C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400 <sup>0</sup> C | 600°C |  |
| Control mix                                | 29.22                  | 24.0               | 23.4               | 11.2               | 27.2               | 23.7               | 11.3  |  |
| GSW mix                                    | 31.11                  | 27.2               | 26.6               | 11.3               | 26.7               | 22.2               | 9.5   |  |

From the results it can be seen that specimens of both the mixes loses compressive strength at elevated temperatures. For the water cooled specimens of the control mix, strength loss is lesser than that of air cooled specimens. This is because of the gain in strength of the specimens due to rehydration. These specimens were tested after 30 days of their cooling. For the air cooled GSW specimens strength loss is less than that of control mix for  $200^{\circ}$ C and  $400^{\circ}$ C. However at  $600^{\circ}$ C strength loss is slightly greater. But for the water cooled specimens strength loss is more for GSW mix specimens.

| Table & Compressive Strength Loss At Elevated Temperatures |                    |             |             |                                      |             |                    |  |
|--|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| Type of cooling  | Air cooled         |             |             | e of cooling Air cooled Water cooled |             |                    |  |
| Temperature  | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | $400^{0}$ C | $600^{0}$ C | $200^{0}C$                           | $400^{0}$ C | 600 <sup>°</sup> C |  |
| Control mix  | 18%                | 20%         | 62%         | 7%                                   | 19%         | 20%                |  |
| GSW mix  | 13%                | 14%         | 64%         | 13%                                  | 29%         | 69%                |  |

## Table X Compressive Strength Loss At Elevated Temperatures

The loss of strength observed at higher temperatures may be attributed to the loss of bound water, increased porosity, and consequently, the increased permeability. The reduction in the compressive strength of concrete was significantly larger for samples exposed to temperatures  $600^{\circ}$ C. This result is due to the lost water of crystallization resulting in a reduction of the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>content,in addition to the changes in the morphology and the formation of micro cracks.







Fig 2 Variation of Cube Compressive Strength for Water cooled Specimens

#### **Split Tensile Strength**

| Table Xi  | i Split C | vlinder | Tensile | Strength | $In N/Mm^2$ |
|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|-------------|
| I uble 11 |           | ymuut   | I Chone | oucingui |             |

| Type of cooling |                        | Air cooled         |       |                    | Water cooled       |       |                    |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Temperature     | Ambient<br>temperature | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400°C | 600 <sup>°</sup> C | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400°C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C |
| Control mix     | 2.84                   | 2.41               | 1.89  | 0.56               | 2.88               | 2.05  | 0.76               |
| GSW mix         | 3.15                   | 2.26               | 1.71  | 0.40               | 3.03               | 1.97  | 0.66               |

#### Table Xii Reduction Of Split Cylinder Tensile Strength At Elevated Temperatures

| Type of cooling | Air cool   | ed          |             | Water cooled |             |                    |  |
|-----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| Temperature     | $200^{0}C$ | $400^{0}$ C | $600^{0}$ C | $200^{0}C$   | $400^{0}$ C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C |  |
| Control mix     | 15%        | 33%         | 80%         | -1.3%        | 28%         | 73%                |  |
| GSW mix         | 28%        | 46%         | 83%         | 4%           | 37%         | 79%                |  |

From the results and graphs it is clear that split tensile strength for both type of specimens is reduced at higher temperatures. The percentage loss is higher for the GSW specimens. For the water cooled control mix specimen at  $200^{\circ}$ C, split tensile strength is slightly higher than that at  $28^{\circ}$ C. Water cooled specimens show higher strength than air cooled specimens due to rehydration. In the case of GSW specimens also water cooled specimens show higher values of tensile strength than air cooled specimens. But gain in strength is less at  $600^{\circ}$ C.









## **Flexural Strength**

Table Xiii Beam Flexural Strength In N/Mm<sup>2</sup>

| Type of cooling |                        | Air cooled         |                    |                    | Water cooled       |       |                    |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Temperature     | Ambient<br>temperature | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400 <sup>0</sup> C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400°C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C |
| Control mix     | 5.8                    | 5.72               | 2.98               | 1.11               | 5.96               | 4.22  | 1.14               |
| GSW mix         | 5.86                   | 5.52               | 2.12               | 0.44               | 5.64               | 2.14  | 0.4                |

| <b>Fable Xiv Reduction</b> | n Of Beam F  | <b>Elexural Strength</b> | At Elevated ' | <b>Femperatures</b> |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Labie IIII Ileaactio       | I OI Deall I | ional al bui ongen       | ne hieratea   | i emperatar es      |

| Type of cooling | Air cool       | led         |                    | Water cooled   |                 |                    |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Temperature     | $200^{\circ}C$ | $400^{0}$ C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C | $200^{\circ}C$ | $400^{\circ}$ C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C |
| Control mix     | 1%             | 49%         | 81%                | -5.8%          | 27%             | 80%                |
| GSW mix         | 6%             | 64%         | 84%                | 4%             | 63%             | 82%                |

From the results, it is clear that flexural strength deccreases with increase in temperature. Strength loss is higher for GSW specimens. In the case of control mix and GSW mix water cooled specimens show higher strength than air cooled specimens due to rehydration. But gain in strength is less for GSW specimens.



Fig 5 Variation of Flexural Strength of air cooled specimens



Fig 6 Variation of Flexural Strength of Water cooled specimens

#### **Modulus Of Elasticity**

 Table Xv Modulus Of Elasticity Of Concrete

| Type of cooling |                        | Air cooled         |       |                    | Water cooled       |                    |       |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Temperature     | Ambient<br>temperature | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400°C | 600 <sup>0</sup> C | 200 <sup>0</sup> C | 400 <sup>0</sup> C | 600°C |
| Control mix     | 26408                  | 27162              | 9054  | 2320               | 28294              | 9054               | 2433  |
| GSW mix         | 47534                  | 41875              | 14524 | 1675               | 47534              | 10752              | 1021  |

| Table  | Xvireduction | Of Modulus  | Of Elasticity  | At Elevated ' | <b>Cemperatures</b> |
|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| I abie | Avircultun   | OI MIOUUIUS | Of Enasticity. | At Elevated . | i emperatur es      |

| Type of cooling | Air cool    | ed          |             | Water cooled |             |                    |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Temperature     | $200^{0}$ C | $400^{0}$ C | $600^{0}$ C | $200^{0}C$   | $400^{0}$ C | 600 <sup>°</sup> C |
| Control mix     | -2.8%       | 66%         | 91%         | -7.1%        | 66%         | 91%                |
| GSW mix         | 12%         | 70%         | 96%         | 0%           | 77%         | 98%                |

From the results it is clear that Modulus of elasticity reduces with increase of temperature for control mix and GSW mix. At  $200^{\circ}$ C control mix specimens have higher modulus of elasticity than that of  $28^{\circ}$ C.But at higher temperatures,Modulus of elasticity is reduced greatly,as much as 91% at  $600^{\circ}$ C.For GSW mix specimens reduction is more than that of control mix and reaches upto 98% at  $600^{\circ}$ C



Fig 7 Stress strain graph of specimens at 28<sup>o</sup>C



Fig 8 Stress strain graph of specimens at 200°C





Fig 10 Stress strain graph of specimens at 600°C

# VII. CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Waste pieces of granite slab can be used as coarse aggregates in the normal strength concrete.
- 2. Compared to normal granite aggregates, GSW aggregates have higher value of specific gravity and crushing resistance.
- 3.  $M_{20}$  mix designed for GSW mix needed 30 kg more cement than that of normal granite mix.
- 4. In control and GSW mix concrete exposed to elevated temperatures, compressive, split tensile, flexural strength and modulus of elasticity decreases with increase in temperature.
- 5. Cracks are developed in both type of specimens at higher temperature.
- 6. Colour was observed on both type of specimens on outside and inside at elevated temperature.
- 7. Percentage mass reduction at elevated temperature is slightly more for GSW specimens.
- 8. In general GSW mix concrete can be used for normal strength concrete where it is not subjected to more than  $200^{\circ}$ C.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Neville A.M, Properties of concrete, Third Edition, English Language Book Society, 1988.
- [2]. M.L Gambhir, Concrete Technology, TataMcGraw -Hill Publishing Company Ltd. New Delhi.
- [3]. Shetty.M.S, Concrete Technology Theory and Practice, S, Chand and Company Ltd, New Delhi.
- [4]. Sri Ravindrarajah, R., Residual Compressive and Tensile strengths for High strength Concrete Exposed to High-Temperature up to 800°C, Proceedings International Conference on HPHSC, Perth, Australia, August 1998, 633-645
- [5]. George C. Hoff, Alainbilodeo and V. Mohan Malhotra. Elevated Temperatures effects on H.S.C. Residual strength, Concrete International, April 2000, 41-47.
- [6]. K. Srinivasa Rao, M. Potha Raju, P.S.N. Raju A Study On Variation Of Compressive Strength Of High Strength Concrete At Elevated Temperatures 29th Conference on Our World In Concrete & Structures: 25 - 26 August 2004, Singapore
- [7]. <u>Potha Raju M, Shobha M</u>, , <u>Rambabu K</u>, Flexural strength of fly ash concrete under elevated temperatures Magazine of Concrete Research, 2004, 56, No. 2,
- [8]. De Souza. A. A. A. Moreno.A.L. JR, "The effectof high temperatures on concrete compression strength, tensile strength and deformation modulus" IBRACON Structures and Materials Journal Volume 3, Number 4 (December, 2010) p. 432 - 448 ISSN 1983-4195.
- [9]. K. Chandramouli, P. Srinivasa Rao, T. Seshadri Sekhar, N. Pannirselvam and P. Sravana Effect of Thermal Cycles on Compressive Strength of Different Grades of Concrete/ International Journal of Engineering and Technology Vol.3 (2), 2011, 106-118
- [10]. M.A.Pathan , M.A.Jamnu Compressive Strength Of Conventional Concrete And High Strength Concrete With Temperature Effect International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies Vol. I/ Issue III/April-June, 2012/101-102

- [11]. IS: 1489-1991,Portland –Pozzolana Cement Specification, Part I, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [12]. IS: 4031-1988, Methods of Physical Tests for Hydraulic Cement, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [13]. IS:650-1960, Specification for Standard Sand for Testing Cement, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [14]. .IS 2386:1963, Methods of test for aggregates for concrete, Part I& III, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [15]. IS: 383-1970 Specification for Coarse and Fine Aggregates from Natural Sources for Concrete, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [16]. IS: 1199-1959, Methods of Sampling and Analysis of concrete, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [17]. IS: 516-1959 Method of test for strength of concrete, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [18]. IS: 456-2000 Code of Practice for Plain and Reinforced Concrete, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [19]. IS:10262 -2009 Indian Standard Concrete Mix Proportioning –Guidelines, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi
- [20]. IS: 3809-1979, Fire Resistance Test of Structures, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi